

European Defence & Security Law & Policy Quarterly (EDSeQ)

Author Guidelines

I. Submission Process

Submitting a manuscript doesn't guarantee publication. Before you submit, feel free to contact the editors to discuss whether your topic is a good fit for EDSeQ. We also welcome unsolicited submissions; please email them directly to the Executive Editor.

Exclusive Submission Requirement

By submitting to EDSeQ, you confirm that the manuscript is not currently under review by another publisher, journal, or online platform.

You are permitted to keep a pre-peer review version of your manuscript on platforms such as SSRN while it is being reviewed by us. **Once the article is officially published in EDSeQ, however, you must remove all other versions of the manuscript from all online platforms.**

II. Quality Standards, Editorial Review, and Publication Terms

Quality Requirements

We only accept submissions of exceptional quality. Authors are fully responsible for the factual accuracy of their work.

For a manuscript to be published, it must meet these criteria:

- **Distinguish itself from existing scholarship.** You must comprehensively footnote and reference your work to clearly show how it contributes to the current discourse.
- **Add substantial value.** Your submission must significantly contribute to defence and security law scholarship.
- **Be original.** The manuscript must not be published or pending publication elsewhere.

AI Usage Policy

Manuscripts wholly, substantially, or substantively generated by AI, machine learning, or similar tools cannot be published in EDSeQ. Authors using generative AI in their

research must acknowledge this in a footnote. AI cannot be listed as an author, co-author, or cited as a reference.

Content Standards

Your articles must engage with existing legal literature and court decisions, even when you are challenging established positions. Country Reports and Case Notes can be more factual and focused. Submissions on recent developments do not require as many references as those on established topics.

Please ensure your manuscript is finalized before submission, as we cannot accommodate major corrections after it has been typeset.

III. Review Process

Peer Review

All articles undergo a **double-blind peer review** process. They are evaluated for accuracy, quality, novelty, and relevance to defence and security law.

Simplified Review

Country reports, case notes, and book reviews follow a streamlined review process.

Decision Outcomes

Submissions may be:

- Accepted as submitted
- Accepted pending minor revisions
- Accepted pending major revisions
- Rejected

Keep in mind that most papers require some revision before being accepted. All authors, regardless of their position or status, must respect the feedback from the reviewers and the editorial team.

IV. Format and Style

Reference Style: Use the **OSCOLA 4th edition**, supplemented by the guidelines below. [Find the Quick Reference Guide here.](#)

Language: Please use British English.

Compliance: Submissions that do not comply with these standards will be returned to the authors for revision.

1. Format and Length

- **Articles:** 4,000–8,000 words (including footnotes). We will consider longer articles on a case-by-case basis.
- **Case Notes:** 2,000–3,000 words (including footnotes). Case notes should cover European Court rulings, not national judgments (which go in Country Reports). If a judgment is not yet final, please indicate that. The structure should include a headline, background facts, judgment summary, and author commentary.
- **Country Reports:** 1,000–3,500 words (including footnotes). They should focus on national legal developments with a clear relevance to defence and security law. The approach should be factual but also include critical and personal commentary.
- **Book Reviews: Standard Reviews** are up to 1,500 words and should detail the book's contribution, structure, and offer a critical assessment. **Critical Reviews** are up to 3,000 words and should additionally assess arguments with a focus on key theoretical issues.

2. Presentation Standards

- **Title:** Use headline capitalization (capitalize all words except conjunctions). The title should be a maximum of 3 lines (150 characters with spaces). Subtitles are permitted, with a combined maximum of 200 characters.
- **Author Details:** In the first asterisk footnote (*) after the author's name, include the full name(s), position/role, institution and location, and email address.

Example:

Article Title

Sarah Thompson*

.....

*Dr Sarah Thompson, Senior Lecturer in International Law, University of Edinburgh Law School, Edinburgh, UK. For correspondence: <s.thompson@ed.ac.uk>.

Technical note: In Word's References tab, use the Footnotes launcher, enter asterisk in Custom mark box, click Insert, then add footnote text.

3. Abstract

All submissions – except for country reports and book reviews – must include a short, italicized abstract. It should be 5-6 sentences (around 200 words), and should not contain any footnotes.

4. Headings

Use headline capitalization for all headings. The hierarchy should be structured as follows:

- **H1:** Roman numerals (e.g., "I. Introduction")
- **H2:** Arabic numerals (e.g., "1.")
- **H3:** Lowercase letters (e.g., "a.")
- **H4:** Lowercase Roman numerals (e.g., "i.")

5. Tables and Figures

Please submit all tables and figures on separate pages. Be sure to include a clear, descriptive title and cite all data sources. In the main text, indicate their exact placement using "[TABLE X]" or "[FIGURE X]". Number them clearly and keep the formatting minimal; please avoid using merged cells or vertical text.

V. Citation and Referencing

General Rules

- Use footnotes for all references; do not include a bibliography.
- Follow the **OSCOLA 4th edition** style.
- Place footnote numbers outside of punctuation marks.
- Use British English.

EU Court Citations

Preferred format: Case reference | short name | [Court collections year] volume and page | paragraph number

OR

Case reference | short name | [year] ECLI number | paragraph number

Examples:

Case C–280/00 Altmark [2003] ECR I-7747 paras 88-90.

Case C-579/16 P FIH Holding [2018] ECLI:EU:C:2018:159.

Case E-12/11 Konkurrenten.no AS [2011] EFTA Ct. Rep. 266.

- Short names should be italicized
- For unreported judgments: include judgment date and "not yet reported" (n.y.r.)

Example:

Joined Cases T-80/06 and T-182/09 Budapest Erőmű judgment of 13.02.2012, not yet reported para 57.

AI Citation

Until official guidance emerges, cite generative AI under OSCOLA 3.4.11 'Personal communications'.

Example:

ChatGPT 4 response to prompt regarding NATO Article 5 implications for cyber warfare (15 March 2024).

If you have any questions about these guidelines, please contact the Executive Editor.